



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,739	03/22/2005	Francois Seneschal	FR02 0098 US	6985
65913	7590	05/28/2009		
NXP, B.V. NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			EXAMINER CHAN, RICHARD	
			ART UNIT 2618	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 05/28/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/528,739	Applicant(s) SENESCHAL ET AL.	
	Examiner RICHARD CHAN	Art Unit 2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 February 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,12 and 14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 11 and 13 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,4-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1, 2, 4-9, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Petsko et al (US 6,018,650) in view of McGirr et al. (US 5,129,098.)

Regarding claim 1, Petsko teaches the device for determining the level of an input signal intended to be applied to a receiving system, said receiving system comprising arranged in series a set of discrete gain amplifiers, a selective filter, a mixer within Receiver Circuitry 42, said receiving system being intended to deliver an output signal, said device comprising:

Art Unit: 2618

measuring means for measuring the level of said output signal in a given frequency channel, RSSI Block 42 (Col.6 line 3-5)

means for determining the real gain of said set of amplifiers in said given frequency channel, wherein a gain of each amplifier in said set of amplifiers is determined by determining a deviation from a nominal gain value of each of said amplifiers in said given frequency channel, (Col.5 line 36-43)

means for determining the real gain of said selective filter in said given frequency channel; and (Col.6 line 47-54)

calculation means for deriving a digital measure of the level of the input signal from the level of the output signal, the real gain of said set of amplifiers and from the real gain of said selective filter. (Col.6 line 35-46)

However, the Petsko reference does not specifically disclose wherein the measuring of the given frequency channel to produce successive measurements of the level of said output signal in said given frequency channel and calculating an average of said successive measurements of the level of said output signal in said given frequency channel.

The McGirr reference however teaches wherein an RSSI module for a radio telephone specifically discloses wherein samplings of an RSSI signal from an A/d 82. These samplings occur at fixed intervals and therefore are interpreted as "successive intervals". The McGirr reference continues to disclose wherein the samplings are added and then processed by an RSSI Average, by dividing RSSI SUM to obtain an properly measure the RSII readings. (Col.6 line 34-64)

Art Unit: 2618

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the RSSI average bloc as taught by McGirr to the device of Petsko in order to calculate the a RSSI reading that is now skewed by short term readings that could skew the readings of the instant RSSI indicator.

Regarding claim 2, Petsko and McGirr combined teaches wherein the device as claimed in claim 1 where the real gain of said selective filter for each of said frequency channels is given by a set of equations defined by a set of coefficients specified for each of said frequency channels. (Col.9 line 25-37)

Regarding claim 3, Petsko and McGirr combined teaches wherein the device as claimed in claim 2, comprising additional means for averaging the level of said output signal. (Col.12 line 57- Col.13 line 7)

Regarding claim 5, Petsko and McGirr combined teaches the device as claimed in claim 1 wherein the deviation from said nominal gain of each amplifier in said set of amplifiers is given by a look- up having first input corresponding to said given frequency channel, and a second input corresponding to the nominal gain of said corresponding amplifiers. (Col.6 line 49-54)

Regarding claim 6, Petsko and McGirr combined teaches wherein the device as claimed in claim 5 where said measuring means comprise a selective filter 82a for

Art Unit: 2618

selecting said given frequency channel, a logarithmic detector and an analog-to-digital converter 86 for delivering the level of said output signal in said given frequency channel. (Col.8 line 1-23)

Regarding claim 7, Petsko teaches wherein the method for determining the level of an input signal intended to be applied to a receiving system, said receiving system comprising arranged in series a set of discrete gain amplifiers, a selective filter, a mixer, said receiving system being intended to deliver an output signal, Receiver Circuitry 42 said method comprising:

a measuring step for measuring the level of said output signal in a given frequency channel, RSSI Block 42 (Col.6 line 3-5)

a processing step for determining the real gain of said set of amplifiers in said given frequency channel, wherein a Rain of each amplifier in said set of amplifiers is determined by determining a deviation from a nominal gain value of each of said amplifiers in said given frequency channel, (Col.5 line 36-43)

a first calculation step for determining the real gain of said selective filter in said given frequency channel, wherein said selective filter gain for each of said frequency channels is given by a set of equations defined by a set of coefficients specified for each of said frequency channels, (Col.9 line 25-37)

a second calculation step for deriving a digital measure of the level of the input signal from the level the output signal, from the real gain of said set of amplifiers and from the real gain of said selective filter. (Col.8 line 1-23)

Art Unit: 2618

However, the Petsko reference does not specifically disclose wherein the measuring of the given frequency channel to produce successive measurements of the level of said output signal in said given frequency channel and calculating an average of said successive measurements of the level of said output signal in said given frequency channel.

The McGirr reference however teaches wherein an RSSI module for a radio telephone specifically discloses wherein samplings of an RSSI signal from an A/d 82. These samplings occur at fixed intervals and therefore are interpreted as "successive intervals". The McGirr reference continues to disclose wherein the samplings are added and then processed by an RSSI Average, by dividing RSSI SUM to obtain an properly measure the RSII readings. (Col.6 line 34-64)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the RSII average bloc as taught by McGirr to the device of Petsko in order to calculate the a RSSI reading that is now skewed by short term readings that could skew the readings of the instant RSSI indicator.

Regarding claim 8, Petsko and McGirr combined teaches wherein the receiving box for multimedia signals or modem comprising a device as claimed in claim 1.

Abstract

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 9, Petsko and McGirr combined teaches wherein the signal generated by the method as claimed in claim 7, said signal indicating the level of the input signal. (Col.5 line 36-43)

Regarding claim 4, the Petsko and McGirr combined reference teaches wherein the device as claimed in claim 2, however does not specifically teach wherein the device is further comprising additional means for rounding the level of said input signal to the nearest half value.

The examiner takes Official Notice of wherein a device will round the values calculated to the nearest half value.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the practice of nearing the measured value to the nearest half value to the device of Petsko in order to maintain a uniform value rating of the measured values.

Regarding claims 12 and 14, the Petsko and McGirr combined disclose wherein said measuring means is further configured to produce said measurements of the level of said output signal in said given frequency channel in decibels.

The examiner takes Official Notice of wherein the device is configured to produced to the output signal is given frequency channel is in decibel.

It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to implement the decibel scale of measurement as it is the standard of measuring scale.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 11 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2618

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RICHARD CHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-0570. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on (571)272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nay A. Maung/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618

/Richard Chan/
Examiner, Art Unit 2618